Effect of three-body loss on itinerant ferromagnetism in an atomic Fermi gas



Gareth Conduit^{1, 2} & Ehud Altman¹

1. Weizmann Institute of Science, 2. Ben Gurion University

G.J. Conduit & B.D. Simons, Phys. Rev. A 79, 053606 (2009)
G.J. Conduit, A.G. Green & B.D. Simons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 207201 (2009)
G.J. Conduit & B.D. Simons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 200403 (2009)
G.J Conduit & E. Altman, arXiv: 0911.2839

Experimental evidence for ferromagnetism

• Rise in kinetic energy at *k*_F*a*≈2.2



Further key experimental signatures



$$E_{\rm K} \propto n^{5/3}$$

$$\Gamma \propto (k_{\rm F}a)^6 n_{\uparrow} n_{\downarrow} (n_{\uparrow} + n_{\downarrow})$$

Jo, Lee, Choi, Christensen, Kim, Thywissen, Pritchard & Ketterle, Science **325**, 1521 (2009)

Free energy kernel

$$Z = \int D\psi \exp\left(-\iint d\tau dr \sum_{\sigma} \bar{\psi}_{\sigma}(-i\hat{\omega} + \hat{\epsilon} - \mu)\psi_{\sigma} - g\bar{\psi}_{\uparrow}\bar{\psi}_{\downarrow}\psi_{\downarrow}\psi_{\uparrow}\right)$$

 Integrate over fluctuations in magnetization and density and develop a perturbation theory in interaction strength

$$F = F_0 + \frac{1 - gv}{2v}m^2 + um^4 + vm^6 + g^2 (rm^2 + wm^4 \ln|m|) \qquad k_F a_{crit} = 1.05$$

First order transition¹ verified by *ab initio* Quantum Monte Carlo calculations²

¹Abrikosov (1958), Duine & MacDonald (2005), Belitz *et al.* Z. Phys. B (1997) & Conduit & Simons (2009) ²Conduit & Simons, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 207201 (2009)

Theoretical prediction of the kinetic energy



Conduit & Simons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 200403 (2009)

Three body losses



- Loss not only causes mean-field reduction in density [Y31.00002] but also damps quantum fluctuations
- In boson systems, three-body scattering drives the formation of a Tonks-Girardeau gas [Syassen *et al.*, Science **320**, 1329 (2009)]

Damping of fluctuations by atom loss

- Atom loss rate (k_Fa)⁶n_↑n_↓(n_↑ + n_↓) is
 λ'χ(r-r')[c_↑[†](r')c_↑(r') + c_↓[†](r')c_↓(r')]c_↑[†](r)c_↓[†](r)c_↓(r)c_↑(r)
- A mean-field approximation, N = n₁(r') + n↓(r') places loss on same footing as interactions

 $S_{\text{int}} = (g + i\lambda \overline{N})c_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r})c_{\downarrow}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r})c_{\downarrow}(\mathbf{r})c_{\uparrow}(\mathbf{r})$



• Loss damps fluctuations so inhibits the transition

$$F = F_0 + \frac{1 - gv}{2v} m^2 + um^4 + vm^6 + (g^2 - \lambda^2 N^2) (rm^2 + wm^4 \ln |m|)$$

Phase boundary with atom loss

• Atom loss raises the interaction strength required for ferromagnetism



Interaction renormalization with atom loss

Comparing to experimental atom loss indicates transition at k_Fa≈2



Conduit & Altman, arXiv: 0911.2839; Huckans et al. PRL **102**, 165302 (2009)

Alternative strategy: spin spiral

• Prepare gas in spin spiral and follow evolution into fully polarized state



Summary

- Equilibrium theory provides a qualitative description of the ferromagnetic transition
- Discrepancy in the interaction strength could be accounted for by the renormalization due to atom loss
- Atom loss can be avoided by starting the gas in a fully polarized state

Damping of fluctuations by atom loss

Atom loss rate is

 $\lambda' \chi(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') [\mathbf{c}_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}') \mathbf{c}_{\uparrow}(\mathbf{r}') + \mathbf{c}_{\downarrow}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}') \mathbf{c}_{\downarrow}(\mathbf{r}')] \mathbf{c}_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{c}_{\downarrow}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{c}_{\downarrow}(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{c}_{\uparrow}(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{c}_{\downarrow}(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{c}_{$

- A mean-field approximation, N = n_↑(r') + n_↓(r') places loss on same footing as interactions
 S_{int} = (g + iλN)c_↑[†](r)c_↓[†](r)c_↓(r)c_↑(r)
- Also include atom source -iγc_σ⁺c_σ to ensure gas remains at equilibrium



Loss damps fluctuations so inhibits the transition

$$F = F_0 + \frac{1 - gv}{2v} m^2 + um^4 + vm^6 + (g^2 - \lambda^2 N^2) (rm^2 + wm^4 \ln|m|)$$

Equilibrium study of ferromagnetism

$$Z = \int D\psi \exp\left(-\iint d\tau d\mathbf{r} \sum_{\sigma} \bar{\psi}_{\sigma} (-i\hat{\omega} + \hat{\epsilon} - \mu)\psi_{\sigma} - \mathbf{g} \bar{\psi}_{\uparrow} \bar{\psi}_{\downarrow} \psi_{\downarrow} \psi_{\uparrow}\right)$$

• Decouple with the average magnetisation *m* gives the Stoner criterion $F = F_0 + \frac{1 - gv}{2v}m^2 + um^4 + vm^6$

Mean-field analysis & consequences of trap

Recovers qualitative behavior¹ but transition at k_Fa=1.8 instead of k_Fa=2.2



¹LeBlanc, Thywissen, Burkov & Paramekanti, Phys. Rev. A **80**, 013607 (2009) & Conduit & Simons, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 200403 (2009)

Phase boundary with atom loss

• Atom loss raises the interaction strength required for ferromagnetism



Interaction renormalization with atom loss



Conduit & Altman, arXiv: 0911.2839; Huckans *et al.* PRL **102**, 165302 (2009)

Condensation of topological defects

 Defects freeze out from disordered state

- Defect annihilation hinders the formation of the ferromagnetic phase thus raising the required interaction strength
- Defect radius L ~ t^{1/2} [Bray, Adv. Phys. 43, 357 (1994)]



Condensation of topological defects

Condensation of defects inhibits the transition



Conduit & Simons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 200403 (2009)

First order phase transition and Quantum Monte Carlo verification

• First order transition into uniform phase with TCP

• QMC also sees first order transition

Summary of equilibrium results

Momentum distribution

New approach to fluctuation corrections

$$Z = \int D\psi \exp\left(-\int \sum_{\sigma} \bar{\psi}_{\sigma} (-i\omega + \epsilon - \mu)\psi_{\sigma} - g\int \bar{\psi}_{\uparrow} \bar{\psi}_{\downarrow} \psi_{\downarrow} \psi_{\uparrow}\right)$$

Analytic strategy:

1) Decouple in both the density and spin channels (previous approaches employ only spin)

- 2) Integrate out electrons
- 3) Expand about uniform magnetisation
- 4) Expand density and magnetisation fluctuations to second order5) Integrate out density and magnetisation fluctuations
- Aim to uncover connection to second order perturbation theory
- Unravel origin of logarithmic divergence in energy and relation to tricritical point structure

Analytical method

• System free energy $F = -k_B T \ln Z$ is found via the partition function

$$Z = \int D\psi \exp\left(-\int \sum_{\sigma} \bar{\psi}_{\sigma} (-i\omega + \epsilon - \mu)\psi_{\sigma} - g\int \bar{\psi}_{\uparrow} \bar{\psi}_{\downarrow} \psi_{\downarrow} \psi_{\uparrow}\right)$$

- Decouple using only the average magnetisation $m = \overline{\psi}_{\downarrow} \psi_{\uparrow} \overline{\psi}_{\uparrow} \psi_{\downarrow}$ gives $F \propto (1 - g \nu) m^2$ i.e. the Stoner criterion

Quantum Monte Carlo verification

• First order transition into uniform phase with TCP

• QMC also sees first order transition

Cold atomic gases — spin

- Two fermionic atom species have a *pseudo-spin*:
 - ⁶Li $m_{\rm F}=1/2$ maps to spin 1/2
 - ⁶Li $m_{\rm F} = -1/2$ maps to spin -1/2
- The up-and down spin particles *cannot* interchange population imbalance is fixed. Possible spin states are:
 - $$\begin{split} |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle & S=1, S_z=1 & \text{State not possible as } S_z \text{ has changed} \\ |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle & S=1, S_z=-1 & \text{State not possible as } S_z \text{ has changed} \\ (|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle+|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle)/\sqrt{2} & S=1, S_z=0 & \text{Magnetic moment in plane} \\ (|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle-|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle)/\sqrt{2} & S=0, S_z=0 & \text{Non-magnetic state} \end{split}$$
- Ferromagnetism, if favourable, must form in-plane

Particle-hole perspective

To second order in g the free energy is $F = \sum_{\sigma,k} \epsilon_k^{\sigma} n(\epsilon_k^{\sigma}) + g N^{\uparrow} N^{\downarrow}$ $- \frac{2g^2}{V^3} \sum_p \int \int \frac{\rho^{\uparrow}(p,\epsilon_{\uparrow})\rho^{\downarrow}(-p,\epsilon_{\downarrow})}{\epsilon_{\uparrow} + \epsilon_{\downarrow}} d\epsilon_{\uparrow} d\epsilon_{\downarrow}$ $+ \frac{2g^2}{V^3} \sum_{k_{1,2,3,4}} \frac{n(\epsilon_{k_1}^{\uparrow})n(\epsilon_{k_2}^{\downarrow})}{\epsilon_{k_1}^{\uparrow} + \epsilon_{k_2}^{\downarrow} - \epsilon_{k_3}^{\uparrow} - \epsilon_{k_4}^{\downarrow}} \delta(k_1 + k_2 - k_3 - k_3)$



with $\epsilon_k^{\sigma} = \epsilon_k + \sigma gm$ and a particle-hole density of states

$$\rho^{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} n(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{p}/2}^{\sigma}) \Big[1 - n(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{p}/2}^{\sigma}) \Big] \delta \Big[\boldsymbol{\epsilon} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{p}/2}^{\sigma} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{p}/2}^{\sigma} \Big]$$

- Enhanced particle-hole phase space at zero magnetisation drives transition first order
- Recover $m^4 \ln m^2$ at T=0
- Links quantum fluctuation to second order perturbation approach¹
 ¹Abrikosov 1958 & Duine & MacDonald 2005

Quantum Monte Carlo: Textured phase

• Textured phase preempted transition with $q=0.2k_{\text{F}}$

T=0

Modified collective modes

• Collective mode dispersion

Collective mode damping